

Report Identification Number: NY-18-096

Prepared by: New York City Regional Office

Issue Date: Apr 03, 2019

This report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns:  ☑ A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.
The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.
The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.
The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the Senate of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.



# **Abbreviations**

Relationships							
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child					
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child					
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father					
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider					
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father					
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle					
FM-Foster Mother	SS-Surviving Sibling	PS-Parent Sub					
CH/CHN-Child/Children	OA-Other Adult						
	Contacts						
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner					
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services					
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother					
CPS-Child Protective Services							
	Allegations						
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts					
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding					
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse					
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect					
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive					
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision					
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Other						
	Miscellaneous						
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender					
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence					
LDSS-Local Department of Social	ACS-Administration for Children's	NYPD-New York City Police					
Service	Services	Department					
PPRS-Purchased Preventive Rehabilitative Services	TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy Families	FC-Foster Care					
MH-Mental Health	ER-Emergency Room	COS-Court Ordered Services					
OP-Order of Protection	RAP-Risk Assessment Profile	FASP-Family Assessment Plan					
FAR-Family Assessment Response	Hx-History	Tx-Treatment					
CAC-Child Advocacy Center	PIP-Program Improvement Plan	yo- year(s) old					
CPR-Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation	<u> </u>						



#### **Case Information**

**Report Type:** Child Deceased **Jurisdiction:** Bronx **Date of Death:** 09/30/2018

Age: 8 month(s) Gender: Male Initial Date OCFS Notified: 09/30/2018

#### **Presenting Information**

On 9/30/18, the SCR registered a report which alleged the SF bathed the nine-month-old male (SC) and the one-year-old male (SS) children together in the bathtub. The SF took the one-year-old out of the tub and gave him to the BM. The SF turned around and observed the nine-month-old had vomited and was face down in two to three inches of water. The SF initiated CPR while the BM summoned EMS for medical assistance. LE responded to the home and transported the SC to Lincoln Hospital (LH) where he was pronounced dead at 6:16 PM, on the same day. The allegations of the report were DOA/Fatality, IG and LS of the SC by the SF.

On the same day, the SCR registered a subsequent report that alleged the parents went to the hospital and did not return to the residence. The report alleged the parents failed to establish an alternative plan of care for the SS. The allegation of the report was IG of the SS by both parents.

#### **Executive Summary**

The SCR registered a report regarding the death of the SC that occurred on 9/30/18. The report narrative alleged that while the SF was bathing the children, he turned his back on the SC to tend to the SS. When he turned around he observed the SC face down, in the bathtub with two to three inches of water, and the SC had vomited. The SF initiated CPR and the BM alerted security staff who summoned EMS. The parents did not have a phone. The SS was left in the care of the Program Director at the shelter where the family resided. LE responded to the shelter and transported the SC and the BM to the ER. The SC was pronounced dead at 6:16 PM.

The SCR registered a subsequent report alleging the parents failed to make an alternate plan of care for the SS. The parents were taken to the local precinct and they had no support in NY because they had recently moved to this state. On 10/1/18, the SS was assessed at LH and released to the parents who then traveled to the state of New Jersey (NJ) to be with family.

ACS Queens Field Office initiated the investigation by visiting LH and obtaining information regarding the incident. ACS learned from the Dr. that no water was found in the SC's lungs; however, a small piece of steak and other food particles were found in his esophagus. There were no visible injuries found on the SC's body. According to the Dr., the BM stated she fed the SC a muffin but later admitted she fed him other foods.

On 9/30/18, LE reported the 911 call was received at 5:30 PM and the SC arrived at the hospital at 5:39 PM. LE reported the parents' accounts were consistent and they found no criminality.

On 9/30/18, ACS visited the shelter and LE did not allow ACS to enter the parents' room. ACS learned from the staff that the BM yelled her baby had stopped breathing and staff responded to the room. The staff observed the SC laying on the bed while the SF performed CPR. The staff reported that in the past, the BM yelled foul language at the children and the room was "messy". ACS viewed pictures and observed clutter; however, it was not hazardous to the children.

On 10/1/18, ACS interviewed the parents at the local precinct and learned that the both the SC and the SS were diagnosed with acid reflux; however, the SC was fed regular milk. The parents explained they did not have money to buy the formula the SC needed. The BM explained that the staff at Women Infants and Children (WIC) in NJ advised her to feed the then seven-month-old SC pureed foods. The BM opted to chew the steak and other adult foods before feeding them to the SC.



According to the BM, the incident occurred when she left the children with the SF to go the bedroom and prepare the children's clothing. The BM stated she left the SC sitting upright in the tub. According to the BM, earlier on the day of the incident, both children were sneezing and coughing and she gave them Tylenol (she did not specify the dosage).

The SF reported that food expelled from the SC's mouth and nostrils as he performed CPR and that he appeared blue in color. The SF was CPR certified. The SF reported the SC was a "perfectly healthy and happy child" who was able to chew his foods. They did not burp the SC after eating because the SC did not appear to be in discomfort. According to the SF, both children were cleared to eat solid foods by their pediatrician in NJ.

Due to serious concerns of inadequate guardianship, the SS was placed in non-kinship foster care in NY. The parents were uncooperative with the investigation and remained living in NJ.

The ME reported the autopsy was pending and ACS had not yet made a determination on the case at the time this report was issued.

#### Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

Safety	Assessm	ent.
Salety	A SSCSSIII	ent.

- Was sufficient information gathered to make the decision recorded on the:
  - **Approved Initial Safety Assessment?**

Yes

Safety assessment due at the time of determination?

N/A

Was the safety decision on the approved Initial Safety Assessment Yes appropriate?

#### **Determination:**

Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for The CPS report had not yet been all allegations as well as any others identified in the course of the investigation?

determined at the time this Fatality report was issued.

Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate N/A appropriate?

Was the decision to close the case appropriate?

N/A

Was casework activity commensurate with appropriate and relevant

statutory or regulatory requirements?

Yes

Was there sufficient documentation of supervisory consultation?

Yes, the case record has detail of the

consultation.

**Explain:** 

**Required Actions Related to the Fatality** 

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No



## **Fatality-Related Information and Investigative Activities**

	Includit Informa	ativii
<b>Date of Death:</b> 09/30/2018	Time	e of Death: 06:16 PM
Date of fatal incident, if differe	nt than date of death:	09/29/2018
Time of fatal incident, if differ	ent than time of death:	05:30 PM
County where fatality incident	occurred:	Bronx
Was 911 or local emergency nu	ımber called?	Yes
Time of Call:		05:35 PM
Did EMS respond to the scene	?	Yes
At time of incident leading to d	eath, had child used alcohol or d	drugs? No
Child's activity at time of incid	ent:	
☐ Sleeping	Working	Driving / Vehicle occupant
Playing	☐ Eating	Unknown
Other: Bathing	_	
Did child have supervision at t	ime of incident leading to death?	? Yes
How long before incident was t	he child last seen by caretaker?	2 Minutes
At time of incident supervisor	was: Unknown if they were impair	red.
Total number of deaths at inci	dent event:	
Children ages 0-18: 1		
Adults: 0		

#### **Household Composition at time of Fatality**

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	Alleged Victim	Male	8 Month(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Father	Alleged Perpetrator	Male	26 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother	No Role	Female	24 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Male	1 Year(s)

#### **LDSS Response**

Following the receipt of the report, ACS Queens Field Office visited LH and obtained information regarding the incident. The Dr. reported no water was found in the SC's lungs; however, food particles were found in his esophagus. Also, there were no bruises or marks that indicated abuse or neglect.

In response to the incident, LE transported the SC and BM to LH and the SF left the SS in the care of the shelter staff as he was also transported to the hospital in another police vehicle. After the SC was pronounced dead, LE interviewed the



parents at the local precinct and they went to the shelter and completed a re-enactment of the incident. LE found no criminality.

ACS interviewed the parents separately and their accounts were similar to those which were given to LE. The BM stated she left the SC sitting upright in the bathtub, to go to the bedroom to prepare their clothes. The SF reported he took the SS out of the tub, towel dried him and sent him to his mother. He turned around and observed the SC lying face down in the water with vomit. He initiated CPR and the SC regurgitated.

The BM revealed she and the SF fled from NJDCF because they believed the agency was in the process of filing a petition against them and refused to give details regarding the investigation. The parents reported they left the SS with the MGGM and MA until they could figure things out. According to ACS, the family became known to the agency when the NJDCF requested a courtesy visit to assess the family for services. The parents entered the NY shelter system in May of 2018; however, due to their inability to abide by the shelter rules and lack of documentation, they were found ineligible to continue residency. Despite the apparent need, PPRS could not be initiated because the parents moved to various shelters.

On 10/1/18, the ACS Specialist accompanied the parents and the SS to LH where the SS was medically cleared and returned to the BM. The family returned to NJ where they received support from their family and friends.

ACS held a Child Safety Conference on 10/1/18. The result was a return to the Queens Family Court to readdress a neglect petition, which had previously been filed on 7/17/18 against the BM regarding the SS. ACS was granted a remand for the SS on the basis that the BM did not adhere to the stipulations of the initial court order. The parents failed to update ACS of their whereabouts, failed to provide stable housing, medical care and appropriate food for both children. In addition there were concerns for the BM's untreated MH condition.

On 10/2/18, ACS accompanied by LE of the state of NJ, removed the SS from the parents and placed him in a non-kinship foster home under the auspices of Children's Village in NY. ACS learned that the SF was not the biological parent of the SS. The SM reported she had no knowledge of his whereabouts and the SF had been an active caretaker for the SS.

On 10/16/18, ACS learned from the pediatrician the SC had been a patient since 2/2018. He was last seen on 3/26/18 and had missed his follow-up appointment; his immunizations were not up to date. The SS last visited the Dr. on 7/16/18 and was scheduled to return on 7/30/18, but he had not returned. The Dr. reported both children had acid reflux. The SS had been referred to Early Intervention for speech. ACS facilitated supervised visits between the SS, parents and MGGM, though the adults only visited sporadically.

On 2/6/19, LE reported that the ME found the SC had spotting on the brain which meant a lack of oxygen; however, the final cause of death was pending. LE requested a second interview with the SF but he declined. LE reported the BM retained an attorney and had been uncooperative.

ACS had not yet made a determination regarding the allegations at the time this report was issued.

#### Official Manner and Cause of Death

Official Manner: Pending

Primary Cause of Death: Unknown

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Medical Examiner

#### Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality investigation conducted by a Multidisciplinary Team (MDT)? No

**Comments:** The ACS investigation adhered to previously approved protocols for joint investigation.

NY-18-096 FINAL Page 6 of 12



## Was the fatality reviewed by an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team?No

**Comments:** The New York City region does not have an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team.

#### **SCR Fatality Report Summary**

Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome
049322 - Deceased Child, Male, 8	049323 - Father, Male, 26	Lack of Supervision	Pending
Mons	Year(s)		
049322 - Deceased Child, Male, 8	049323 - Father, Male, 26	DOA / Fatality	Pending
Mons	Year(s)		
049322 - Deceased Child, Male, 8	049323 - Father, Male, 26	Inadequate	Pending
Mons	Year(s)	Guardianship	

#### **CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities**

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?	$\boxtimes$			
When appropriate, children were interviewed?	$\boxtimes$			
Alleged subject(s) interviewed face-to-face?	$\boxtimes$			
All 'other persons named' interviewed face-to-face?	$\boxtimes$			
Contact with source?	$\boxtimes$			
All appropriate Collaterals contacted?	$\boxtimes$			
Was a death-scene investigation performed?	$\boxtimes$			
Was there discussion with all parties (youth, other household members, and staff) who were present that day (if nonverbal, observation and comments in case notes)?	$\boxtimes$			
Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?	$\boxtimes$			
Did the investigation adhere to established protocols for a joint investigation?	$\boxtimes$			
Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation?	$\boxtimes$			

#### **Additional information:**

ACS attempted to obtain the details of the investigations conducted by NJDCF; however, NJDCF declined to share information.

#### **Fatality Safety Assessment Activities**

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household?				

NY-18-096 FINAL Page 7 of 12



Was there an adequate assessment of impending or immediate danger to shousehold named in the report:	urviving	siblings/o	ther child	dren in the
Within 24 hours?	$\boxtimes$			
At 7 days?	$\boxtimes$			
At 30 days?	$\boxtimes$			
Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving siblings/ other children in the household within 24 hours?	$\boxtimes$			
Are there any safety issues that need to be referred back to the local district?				
When safety factors were present that placed the surviving siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate danger of serious harm, were the safety interventions, including parent/caretaker actions adequate?	$\boxtimes$			
Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessment	Profile			
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case?	$\boxtimes$			
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the	$\boxtimes$			
household?				
household?  Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services?  Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition				
household?  Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services?  Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation?  Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case				
household?  Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services?  Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation?				
household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case Explain:				

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Did the safety factors in the case show the need for the surviving siblings/other children in the household be removed or placed in foster care at any time during this fatality investigation?	$\boxtimes$			
Were there surviving children in the household that were removed either as a result of this fatality report / investigation or for reasons unrelated to this fatality?	$\boxtimes$			
If Yes, court ordered?	$\boxtimes$			

NY-18-096 FINAL Page 8 of 12



#### Explain as necessary:

On 10/1/18, the Queens Family Court remanded the SS and ACS removed the SC from the parents' in NJ, placing him in a non-kinship foster home in NYC. The SS was noted to be adjusting well to his placement. ACS facilitated supervised visits.

Legal Activity Related to the Fatality								
Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation?  ☐ Criminal Court ☐ Order of Protection								
Family Court	t Petition Type: FCA Article 10 - CPS							
Date Filed:	Fact Finding Description:	Disposition Description:						
10/01/2018	Adjudicated Neglected	Article 10 Remand						
Respondent:	dent: 049324 Mother Female 24 Year(s)							
Comments:								

#### Services Provided to the Family in Response to the Fatality

Services	Provided After Death	Offered, but Refused	Offered, Unknown if Used	Not Offered	Needed but Unavailable	N/A	CDR Lead to Referral
Bereavement counseling		$\boxtimes$					
<b>Economic support</b>		$\boxtimes$					
Funeral arrangements		$\boxtimes$					
Housing assistance		$\boxtimes$					
Mental health services		$\boxtimes$					
Foster care							
Health care							
Legal services							
Family planning							
Homemaking Services							
Parenting Skills							
<b>Domestic Violence Services</b>							
Early Intervention							
Alcohol/Substance abuse		$\boxtimes$					
Child Care						$\boxtimes$	

NY-18-096 FINAL Page 9 of 12

NEW STATE Office of Children and Family Services	Child	Fatality	Repor	t			
Intensive case management							
Family or others as safety resources	$\boxtimes$						
Other						$\boxtimes$	
Additional information, if necessary: The BM enrolled in parenting classes through	ıgh Childre	n's Village.					
Were services provided to parent(s) and fatality? No Explain: The parents returned to NJ and declined all			·				
	History	Prior to tl	ne Fatalit	$\overline{\mathbf{y}}$			
	C	hild Informa	ition				
Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment?						Yes	
Was there an open CPS case with this child at the time of death?						No	
Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death?						No	
Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to this child's death?						Yes	
Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death?						No	
	Infants	s Under One	Year Old				
During pregnancy, mother:			г				
Had medical complications / infections			Ĺ	_	y alcohol us	se	
Misused over-the-counter or prescription drugs					tobacco		

\_\_\_ Misused over-the-counter or prescription drugs \_\_\_\_ Smoked tobacco \_\_\_\_ Experienced domestic violence \_\_\_\_ Used illicit drugs

Was not noted in the case record to have any of the issues listed

## Was not noted in the case record to have any of the issues insteed

# Infant was born: Drug exposed With fetal alcohol effects or syndrome

With neither of the issues listed noted in case record

# **CPS - Investigative History Three Years Prior to the Fatality**

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
07/12/2018	Deceased Child, Male, 6 Months	1 1	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Substantiated	No
	Deceased Child, Male, 6 Months	Mother, Female, 24 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated	
	Deceased Child, Male, 6 Months	Mother, Female, 24 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Substantiated	

NY-18-096 FINAL Page 10 of 12



Deceased Child, Male, 6 Months	· · · · · ·	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Substantiated
Deceased Child, Male, 6 Months	Father, Male, 28 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated
Deceased Child, Male, 6 Months	Father, Male, 28 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Substantiated

#### Report Summary:

On 7/12/18, the SCR registered a report that alleged the parents of the SC smoked marijuana on weekends while caring for the child when he was six months old; there were no sober caregivers. The parents allegedly fed the SC regular milk instead of formula which was inappropriate for his age and development. The allegations were PD/AM, IG and IF/CS of the SC by the parents.

**Report Determination:** Indicated **Date of Determination:** 09/10/2018

#### **Basis for Determination:**

On 9/10/18, ACS substantiated the allegations of IG, IF/CS and PD/AM of the SC by the parents. ACS wrote there was evidence to support the allegations as the SC was only six months and was prescribed special formula due to acid reflux; however, he was given milk instead of formula. ACS wrote that although covered by WIC in NJ, they failed to obtain and use the formula. ACS wrote that the parents took turns smoking marijuana on weekends while being the sole care providers of the SC. The SC was also not being provided medical care in that he was not taken to his medical provider regularly and was not up to date with his immunizations.

#### OCFS Review Results:

ACS did not address the SM's mental state and its possible impact on the child as she shared she was diagnosed with depression and was not being treated.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No

#### **CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years Prior to the Fatality**

There was no CPS history more than three years prior to the fatality.

#### **Known CPS History Outside of NYS**

According to the ACS case documentation, on 7/3/18, the New Jersey Department of Children and Families (NJDCF) requested a courtesy visit which led ACS to the family to provide the necessary services. The ACS Specialist visited the family in the NY shelter and observed the parents had no formula for the SC. ACS provided formula and one week later, the Specialist observed the same. ACS held a CSC on 7/12/18 and the parents told the Specialist they had no money for the formula to feed the SC although they received vouchers from Women, Infants and Children (WIC) from NJ. ACS learned that the parents resided in the NY shelter system since May of 2018 and they had not transferred the WIC to NY.

ACS learned that this family had two registered reports with allegations of PD/AM by the parents in the state of NJ; the reports were unfounded. ACS reached out to NJDCF and was denied details of those reports.

#### **Preventive Services History**

The BM initiated services on 7/18/18 and the SF declined services.

#### **Legal History Within Three Years Prior to the Fatality**

NY-18-096 FINAL Page 11 of 12



Was there any lo	egal activity within three years prior to the fa	ality investiga	tion?		
⊠Family Court	Criminal Court		Order of Protection		
Family Court P	<b>Petition Type:</b> FCA Article 10 - CPS				
Date Filed:	Fact Finding Description:	Disposition Description:			
Unknown	Adjudicated Neglected	Article	10 Remand		
Respondent:	049324 Mother Female 24 Year(s)				
Comments:	On 7/17/18, ACS filed an Article Ten Petition (SS) and the SC, naming the parents as respont to drug use, and LMC (SC was not up to date inappropriate foods) and IG of both children. of the SS to the SM because it appeared there state of NJ. The court ordered the parents to pDr. The parents were to notify ACS of their waccept reasonable referrals from ACS for service. On 9/30/18, the SC died and the next day, AC	dents. The petitivith his immunate court parole was shared custovide approprince abouts, not ces.	tion was based on the parents' admission izations), IF/C/S (feeding the SC ed the SC to the parents and gave custody tody with the MGGM and the MA in the ate food for the SC as prescribed by the be under the influence of drugs and		
	Recommended A	ction(s)			
Are there any re	commended actions for local or state admini	trative or polic	ey changes? □Yes ☑No		
Are there any re	commended prevention activities resulting fr	om the review?	Yes No		

NY-18-096 FINAL Page 12 of 12